

CITY DISTRICT COUNCILS (BYDELSRÅDENE)

Kristiansand Municipality

INTRODUCTION

In November 2022, the **City Council of Kristiansand** decided to launch a **three-year pilot for up to ten neighbourhood councils**, known locally as 'bydelsrådene'. Unlike a single event or short-term project, this is an **ongoing participatory governance initiative**: a citizen-driven model where residents, associations, and local organisations form councils that act as partners and consultation bodies for the municipality.

The initiative aims to **strengthen local democracy** and **give residents a structured voice** in planning, development, and decision-making, working toward **more balanced local development** and a **better quality of life** for all ages. In practice, the councils weigh in on planning matters, surface community priorities, initiate local improvements, and serve as an ongoing bridge between residents and the municipality.



NORWAY

ENGAGEMENT LEVEL

- ☐ Inform
- ☐ Consult
- ☐ Involve
- ☒ **Collaborate**
- ☐ Empower

MANDATE

Kristiansand City Council kicked things off in **late 2022**, launching a three-year pilot for neighbourhood councils (bydelsrådene). The city council provided **political backing** and a **clear framework of rights**: bydelsrådene are guaranteed access to relevant documents, an invitation into planning processes, and the ability to submit formal statements.

Even so, the initiative isn't purely top-down. The city council supports the bydelsrådene, but **residents and local associations set them up and run them**. The bydelsrådene have an **advisory role** rather than decision-making power. Participants can count on real influence through dialogue and early involvement in planning, but the municipality keeps the final say. There is no guarantee that every recommendation will be acted on.



RECRUITMENT AND PARTICIPATION

Participants are **recruited through existing local groups**: neighbourhood associations, faith-based groups, youth councils, school councils, housing associations. These groups **already represent local interests**. The councils build on that.

Each council **appoints its own board**. Boards usually have five to eleven members, plus youth representatives and deputies.

The city encourages **diversity** in age, gender, geography, and organisation type. School councils must jointly appoint **at least one youth member per council**. There's no lottery or demographic sampling. Representativeness comes from **outreach**, not random selection.

There's no compensation, travel reimbursement, childcare, or translation. **Participation is voluntary**.

PROCESS DESIGN

Bydelsrådene are ongoing. The three-year pilot launched in late 2022. Each district's council starts on its own clock, so timelines vary. Meeting frequency isn't fixed by the municipality. Each council holds its own **board meetings** and one **annual public meeting**.

The work runs on **dialogue**: open meetings, board meetings, formal statements, and contact with municipal departments. There's no structured method like a citizens' assembly or lottery. **Each council organises its own work**.

Coordination is entirely internal; there are no external facilitators or consultants. At the municipal level, it sits with the democratic unit and a designated coordination officer. At the council level, each council manages its own process. Experts may join informally, depending on the topic. However, that's never a requirement.

OUTPUT AND FOLLOW-UP

It's still early days. Several councils were only recently set up, and the three-year pilot is still running. There are **no clear, measurable results yet**.

The goal is for councils to **produce statements, planning input, and local development ideas**. What that looks like varies by district. Where councils are active, their input takes the form of **advisory comments**: on area plans, infrastructure needs, community priorities. This goes straight to municipal departments through **established administrative routines**. Nothing has been consolidated into formal policy proposals yet.

Visibility to the public depends on the council. Some already share updates through local webpages or public meetings. Others are still building that habit.

Implementation is too early to assess. Final authority stays with the municipality. Outcomes are expected to land only partially, depending on feasibility and fit with city policy. No systematic evaluation exists yet.

RESOURCES

There's **no dedicated budget** for the initiative. The municipality's commitment is **mainly in-kind**: encouraging councils to form, providing administrative routines, and offering meeting facilities.

Staffing is lean. Coordination runs through the democratic unit and one coordination officer. Day-to-day work is carried out by the councils themselves. Beyond that: access to municipal documents, meeting spaces, and administrative support.

No formal evaluation has taken place. The pilot is still running. There's nothing yet to report on effectiveness or impact.



STRENGTHS

- Creates **stable, long-term partnership structures** between residents, associations, and the municipality.
- Gives citizens a **clear, recognised channel into planning and decision-making**.
- Builds **local ownership and relationships** over time.
- **Flexible** by design: each council adapts to its own district's needs.

CHALLENGES

- **Uneven development** across neighbourhoods; some councils thrive, others are still finding their feet.
- Heavy reliance on **voluntary engagement**, with **no financial compensation or systematic support**.
- **Managing expectations** carefully, since final authority always stays with the municipality.

FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS

- Give councils **clear mandates** and **predictable routines**. Timely documents and defined expectations help them engage effectively.
- **Keep communication early and sustained**, both internally and with residents, to build trust.
- Don't expect broad representation to happen on its own; **reach out deliberately through existing organisations**.
- **Allow time**. Building a functioning council is a gradual process that depends on continuity and steady municipal coordination.

Interreg
North Sea



Co-funded by
the European Union

Speak Up